In Response to Office Action Mailed May 13, 2009

REMARKS

Continued examination and favorable reconsideration are respectfully requested. Claims

20, 23-34, and 36-57 remain pending in the application. Claims 1-19, 21-22, and 35 were

previously canceled without prejudice or disclaimer and claim 57 is withdrawn from consideration.

No new matter has been added.

Rejection of Claims Under 35 U.S.C. §112, First Paragraph

Written Description and Enablement

At page 4 of the Office Action, claims 20, 23-34, 36-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112,

first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims allegedly

contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably

convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had

possession of the claimed invention. For the reasons set forth below, Applicants respectfully

traverse this rejection.

Contrary to the assertion in the Office Action, paragraphs [0063]-[0065] clearly support and

fully enable a method that comprises configuring a detector at two different configurations and

performing measurements on two components at each configuration, specifically, wherein an output

signal representing a first component exceeds an upper limit of the dynamic range of the detector at

the first configuration, and a second signal representing a second component is less than the lower

limit of the dynamic range of the detector at the second configuration. The Examiner points to FIG.

13 in supporting the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, however, at least the embodiments shown in

FIGS. 4A-4C and in paragraphs [0063]-[0065] of the present specification, alone support the

claimed subject matter.

Page 2 of 4

U.S. Patent Application No. 10/660,110

Amendment dated May 21, 2009

In Response to Office Action Mailed May 13, 2009

In the embodiment described in paragraphs [0063]-[0065], and as shown in FIGS. 4A-4C,

"the strong component of the first measurement has [exceeded] the upper limit, and thus

information about the strong component has been comprised or lost." See, corresponding U.S.

Patent Application Publication No. US 2005/0059017 A1, at page 6, right hand column, lines 11-

15. Furthermore, the specification goes on to describe that in the embodiment "the weak

component of the second measurement has gone below the lower limit, and thus information about

the weak component has been comprised or lost." See, page 6, right hand column, lines 22-26.

Applicants earnestly solicit the Examiner to reconsider these rejections based on the portions of the

specification identified herein. It is respectfully noted that paragraphs [0063]-[0065] were

specifically pointed to at page 14, line 6, of Applicants' Amendment filed on February 10, 2009.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the

rejection.

Entry of this Request for Reconsideration after final rejection is respectfully requested

because: (1) no amendments were made to the claims or to any other portion of the application; (2)

no further searching is required; (3) no new issues are raised for consideration; and (4) it is believed

that the application is in condition for immediate allowance. Entry and favorable reconsideration

are respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicants respectfully request favorable reconsideration

of the present application and a timely allowance of the pending claims.

Page 3 of 4

U.S. Patent Application No. 10/660,110

Amendment dated May 21, 2009

In Response to Office Action Mailed May 13, 2009

Should the Examiner deem that any further action by Applicants or Applicants' undersigned representative is desirable and/or necessary, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number set forth below.

If there are any other fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fees to deposit Account No. 50-0925. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 not accounted for above, such extension is requested and should also be charged to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Leonard D. Bowersox Reg. No. 33,226

KILYK & BOWERSOX, P.L.L.C. 3925 Chain Bridge Road, Suite D-401

Fairfax, Virginia 22030 Tel.: (703) 385-9688 Fax: (703) 385-9719